

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/18/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,547		08/05/2003	Steven E. Minich	FCI-2714/C3549 6034		
23377	7590	01/18/2005		EXAMINER		
		SHBURN LLP	LUEBKE, RENEE S			
ONE LIBER 1650 MARK		CE, 46TH FLOOR CET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADEL	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				2833	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Antique Courses	10/634,547	MINICH & SHUE & HULL & SMITH						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Renee S. Luebke	2833						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No.	ovember 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-24 and 28-40 is/are pending in the a	Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 28-40</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·							
	Claim(s) <u>1-15,23,24 and 28-40</u> is/are rejected.							
· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 16-22 and 25 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			-D 4 404/4)					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex								
TI) The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	lammer. Note the attached Office	Action of format						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
AMachanautta)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	2.452)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/04 & 12/17/0.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (P10	J-132)					
	. — —							

Application Number: 10/634,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The documents included on the information disclosure statements filed December 17, 2004 have been considered. It is noted that the two documents that are lined through were previously considered.

The PCT documents listed on the IDS filed November 12, 2004 have been considered. It is noted that the other documents listed were previously considered.

2. The drawings submitted November 12, 2004 are generally accepted. However, section lines (i.e. A-A) should be labeled to indicate the figure where the section is shown (i.e. 12-12).

Corrected drawing sheets (and appropriate specification changes) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-15, 23, 24 and 28-40 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Regnier. This connector comprises a linear contact array with lead frames 20 wherein the contacts may be selectively designated as differential signal pairs (Fig. 8) or single ended signal conductors (Fig. 9) and include intermediate ground contacts.

Applicant argues that Regnier does not comprise a contact in the linear array that "can electrically function as either a signal contact or a ground contact." However, the claim merely required that the "contacts may be selectively designated as either ground or signal contacts." This recitation is essentially a recitation of intended use, which must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In

a claim drawn to a process of making or assembling (such as claims 33-39), the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In particular, the structure disclosed by Regnier *may be* selected and operated as claimed.

5. Claims 1-5, 23, 24 and 28-39 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bertoncini, et al. This connector comprises a lead frame 32, 34, 36, and contacts. The signal and ground contacts are structurally similar and therefore it is seen that they "may be selectively designated" as claimed. The designation is determined by the use of the device and is not defined by any of the claimed structure.

Applicant argues that the signal contacts of Bertoncini cannot be designated as ground contacts and vice versa because of connections to, and arrangement of, the shield. However, the shield (and its structure, absence or presence) is not part of the claimed invention. The device of Bertoncini is seen to meet the claimed limitations.

- 6. Claims 16-22 and 25 remain objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Application Number: 10/634,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

January 11, 2005